

Development of Superficially Porous Silica with Polyfunctional C18 Bonding Technique for Reversed Phase HPLC

Norikazu Nagae¹⁾, Tomoyasu Tsukamoto¹⁾, Scott Silver²⁾

1) ChromaNik Technologies Inc., 6-3-1 Namiyoko, Minato-ku, Osaka, Japan

2) Innovations United, 300 East 57th Street, Suite 11J, New York, NY 10022 USA

Innovations United
www.innovationsunited.com

Abstract

Brand columns packed with superficially porous particles have been available for some time. The superficially porous media or so called core-shell media offers significant improvements such as higher efficiency and lower pressure drop for existing HPLC operations without having to replace existing HPLC systems with UHPLC systems.

In this study, core-shell silica bonded with C18 using polyfunctional bonding technique (SunShell C18) was evaluated. A 2.6 μm core-shell silica with a non-porous core approximately 1.6 μm in diameter and a superficially porous layer of 0.5 μm was used to be bonded with hexamethyloctadecyltetrasiloxane (HMOTDS) and end-capped with trimethylsilane.

Proposed core-shell C18 yielded efficiencies close to totally porous sub-2 μm or 2 μm C18 and showed less than half the pressure drop to compare with the sub-2 μm or 2 μm C18. Stability under both acidic and basic pH conditions as well as peak shape of basic, acidic and metal-chelating compounds were evaluated and compared with other core-shell type columns. Proposed core-shell C18 was more stable than the other core shell C18s under acidic and basic pH conditions.

Loading capacity of amitriptyline was examined in acid, low-ionic-strength mobile phases such as a mixture of 0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile and 20 mM phosphate buffer pH7.0 and acetonitrile.




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of a core shell silica particle




Figure 2. Schematic diagram of bonding of SunShell C18 (Core Shell particle)




Figure 3. Comparison of Plate Height Plots




Figure 4. Comparison of Back Pressure for High Throughput Columns

Table 1. Comparison of retention between fully porous silica C18 and core shell silica C18s

	Fully porous silica C18 SunShell C18 5 μm		Core shell silica C18 SunShell C18 2.6 μm		Core shell silica C18 Kinetex C18 2.6 μm	
	Retention time (t _R)	Retention factor (k)	Retention time (t _R)	Retention factor (k)	Retention time (t _R)	Retention factor (k)
1 = Uracil	1.70	0	1.35	0	1.36	0
2 = Caffeine	1.90	0.12	1.47	0.09	1.49	0.10
3 = Phenol	2.17	0.28	1.65	0.22	1.61	0.18
4 = Butylbenzene	13.35	6.85	10.01	6.41	6.19	3.55
5 = <i>o</i> -Terphenyl	19.19	10.28	14.24	9.55	8.15	4.99
6 = Amylbenzene	19.96	10.74	15.09	10.18	8.75	5.43
7 = Triphenylene	24.35	13.32	20.33	14.06	9.44	5.94





Figure 5. Loading capacity of amitriptyline I




Figure 6. Loading capacity of amitriptyline II

In the case of using acetonitrile / 0.1% formic acid as a mobile phase, amitriptyline peak shows more tailing because a loading capacity decreases in an acidic, low-ionic-strength mobile phase.




Figure 7. Chromatogram of standard samples of SunShell C18




Figure 8. Stability under acidic pH condition




Figure 9. Stability under basic pH condition




Figure 10. Bleeding test using LC/MS

Conclusion

- *Core shell particle bonded with polyfunctional C18 (SunShell C18) showed 2 times higher retention factor than Kinetex C18.
- *SunShell C18 had 7 to 100 times higher loading capacity than the other core shell C18.
- *Furthermore, SunShell C18 was not only stable under acidic and basic pH conditions to compare with the other core shell C18s, but also showed less bleeding under a typical LC/MS condition.